## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

NATALIE M. SWAIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 8:20-cv-512-T-35AEP

CJS LEGAL SERVICES, INC., a Florida for-profit corporation,

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court for consideration of Plaintiff's Motion to Tax Attorneys' Fees. (Dkt. 23) In the motion, Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees in the amount of \$5,215.00. (Id.) Defendant did not respond to the motion. On October 15, 2020, United States Magistrate Judge Anthony E. Porcelli issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that Plaintiff's motion be granted in part and denied in part and that Plaintiff be awarded fees in the amount of \$3,000.00. (Dkt. 24) Neither party has filed an objection to the Judge Porcelli's Report and Recommendation, and the time to do so has now passed.

After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings and recommendations, a district judge may accept, reject or modify the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732, 732 (11th Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1112 (1983). A district judge "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). This requires

that the district judge "give fresh consideration to those issues to which specific objection

has been made by a party." Jeffrey S. v. State Bd. of Educ., 896 F.2d 507, 512 (11th

Cir.1990) (quoting H.R. 1609, 94th Cong. § 2 (1976)). In the absence of specific

objections, there is no requirement that a district judge review factual findings de novo,

Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993), and the court may accept,

reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1)(C). The district judge reviews legal conclusions de novo, even in the absence

of an objection. See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry., 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994).

Upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation, in conjunction with an

independent examination of the file, the Court is of the opinion that the Report and

Recommendation should be adopted, confirmed, and approved in all respects.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation, (Dkt. 24), is **CONFIRMED** and

**ADOPTED** as part of this Order; and

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees, (Dkt. 23), is **GRANTED IN PART and** 

**DENIED IN PART.** 

3. Plaintiff is awarded fees in the amount of \$3,000.00.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Tampa, Florida, this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2020.

MARY'S SCRIVEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

Any Unrepresented Person

2